

From: [Hingtgen, Robert J](#)
To: [Hofreiter, Larry](#); [Ramaiya, Jarrett](#); [Gungle, Ashley](#); [Slovick, Mark](#)
Subject: RE: Soitec EIR Submittal
Date: Thursday, March 28, 2013 10:27:26 AM

Here's my 2c worth as to why Soitec should prepare their own GPAR:

My understanding is the GPA for the Soitec project would be the same as for the Wind Ordinance GPA that is supposed to be making its way back to Board by Advance Planning. If that gets approved then the issue is moot. If it is delayed or denied, why would this division then prepare virtually the same document for the Board's consideration for a private project?

From: Hofreiter, Larry
Sent: Thursday, March 28, 2013 9:50 AM
To: Ramaiya, Jarrett; Hingtgen, Robert J; Gungle, Ashley; Slovic, Mark
Subject: RE: Soitec EIR Submittal

Hi Jarrett,

I'm not sure what the latest is? We should check with Sami. Slovic had Lilac Hills prepare their own GPAR, whereas I prepared one for Warner Ranch and then told the applicant to propose revisions to my report in cross-out/underline.

In my opinion, it's much more efficient and cost effective for us to prepare the first draft because we authored the GP document and we understand the intent of the GP policies. But it's not my call and whatever we decide, we should be consistent.

Larry Hofreiter
Planning and Development Services
5510 Overland Ave, 3rd Floor, Room 310,
San Diego, CA 92123
Telephone: (858) 694-8846
Larry.Hofreiter@sdcounty.ca.gov

From: Ramaiya, Jarrett
Sent: Thursday, March 28, 2013 6:57 AM
To: Hingtgen, Robert J; Gungle, Ashley; Slovic, Mark; Hofreiter, Larry
Subject: RE: Soitec EIR Submittal

Larry – I think we switched back to having the applicant prep the GPAR?

From: Hingtgen, Robert J
Sent: Thursday, March 28, 2013 6:45 AM
To: Gungle, Ashley; Ramaiya, Jarrett; Slovic, Mark; Hofreiter, Larry
Subject: RE: Soitec EIR Submittal

I'm not familiar with this decision, if it was made. I believe the applicant should be preparing the GPAR, not staff.

From: Asha Bleier [<mailto:ableier@dudek.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, March 27, 2013 1:25 PM
To: Gungle, Ashley; 'Patrick BROWN'; Hingtgen, Robert J
Cc: David Hochart; Joe Monaco
Subject: RE: Soitec EIR Submittal

Thank you for the quick turnaround Ashley. One question, it was our understanding that the General Plan Analysis Report was going to be completed by County staff as part of the Staff Report. In fact, we were told to revise our land use compatibility reports to eliminate the GP compliance discussion. This is why we have not included any copies at this time. Has this changed?

Asha R. Bleier, AICP, LEED AP BD+C
DUDEK | *Natural Resource Management* | *Infrastructure Development* | *Regulatory Compliance*
T: 760.479.4858 | C: 858.699.4453

From: Gungle, Ashley [<mailto:Ashley.Gungle@sdcounty.ca.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, March 27, 2013 11:14 AM
To: 'Patrick BROWN'; Hingtgen, Robert J
Cc: Asha Bleier; David Hochart
Subject: RE: Soitec EIR Submittal

Hi Pat,

Attached is a copy of the spreadsheet with our County comments in a new column. We are ok with the other requests where we did not comment.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Ashley

From: Patrick BROWN [<mailto:Patrick.BROWN@soitec.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, March 26, 2013 2:15 PM
To: Gungle, Ashley; Slovick, Mark; Hingtgen, Robert J
Cc: Asha Bleier; David Hochart
Subject: Soitec EIR Submittal

Hello All,

As we have been warning you, we are getting ready to submit the Program EIR, TDS MUP resubmittal #3, and Rugged resubmittal #3. In looking at these scoping letters it would require us to print out up to 198 documents which would cost over \$15,000 to print. Please review the attached spreadsheet where we are suggesting reduced copies and digital copies where it makes sense. Also, many of the technical studies or reports are now covered in sections of the EIR. Please let me know

if you want to meet to discuss.

pb